REMARKS

Claims 1, 5-9, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22 remain in the application. All of these claims were identified in documents attached to the Notice of Allowance as being directed to allowable subject matter.

In reviewing the allowed claims, counsel realized that the Examiner's Amendment to claim 8 resulted in claim 8 being identical to claim 8. This Rule 312 Amendment eliminates that redundancy by amending claim 8 to depend from allowed claim 5. This Amendment clearly does not broaden the allowed claims or present issues that would require further consideration or searching by the Examiner. Hence, entry of this Amendment After Allowance is appropriate and is requested.

This Amendment also is presented to clarify two clerical mistakes in the documents attached to the Notice of Allowance. First, the listing of allowed claims presented on the Notice of Allowability is inconsistent with the listing of allowed claims on page 3 of the documents attached to the Notice of Allowance. The claims listed on the Notice of Allowability would have several redundancies. The claims presented in this Amendment are consistent with the claims identified as being allowed on page 3 of the attachments to the Notice of Allowance.

Finally, page 3 of the attachments to the Notice of Allowance identify the uniquely distinct features of independent claims 1 and 20. The seventh line of the listing of these uniquely distinct features begins "suspended by the suspended by the suspender". This clearly is a typographical mistake and the phrase "by the suspended" should be removed.

The Examiner is urged to contact applicants attorney at the number below if there are any questions relating to this Amendment.

Date: July 30, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald E. Hespos, Esq. Atty. Reg. No. 30,066 Customer No. 001218

CASELLA & HESPOS LLP 274 Madison Avenue - Suite 1703

New York, NY 10016 Tel. (212) 725-2450

Fax (212) 725-2452